

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,863	11/29/2001	Hiromasa Yagi	MAM-007	2761
20374	7590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
	K & KUBOVCIK		TALBOT,	BRIAN K
SUITE 710	PREET NIW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 17TH ST WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		1762	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>\U</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/995,863	YAGI ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K Talbot	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	pplication. A proper reply which places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	ate of the final rejection. FTHE FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount ned statutory period for reply originally s	of the fee. The appropriate exter set in the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	the period set forth in sal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claim	is.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re-						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered s would be rejected is provided	l or b)⊡ will be entered a d below or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	WS:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b)□ disapprove	d by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)				
10. Other:						
		Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Finally rejected claims did not require the claimed depositing techniques as well as the specific materials. These changes howere, absentfurterh consideration and/or search appear to overcome the rejection of record.

BRIANK. TALBOT
PRIMARY EXAMINER